



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

that the act of adoption should carry with it the right of inheritance and that equity will consider as done what ought to be done. There is at least one case which supports the principal case in denying specific performance under similar circumstances. *Albring v. Ward*, 137 Mich. 352, 101 N. W. 204. Though supported by other cases, the argument in *Crawford v. Wilson*, supra, seems rather strained and metaphysical; its effect is to enforce a defective adoption agreement.

SUBROGATION—TAXES PAID BY MISTAKE.—Plaintiff, acting under a mistake of fact, paid taxes on defendant's land, and having vainly sought reimbursement from the owner, brings this suit to have his claim subrogated to that of the County. *Held*, an equitable lien should be impressed on the property to the amount of the taxes paid, and the land ordered sold in satisfaction thereof. *Baranowski v. Wetzel*, 161 N. Y. Supp. 153.

It is well settled that an equitable lien may arise, in the absence of express contract, to prevent an unjust enrichment. Assistance will not be given to an officious intermeddler, but where the act, from the result of which relief is sought, is induced by a clear mistake of fact, the party is not in any proper sense a volunteer, and this fact should rebut the trite objection to recovery in such a case as this. This case is allied to the situation which arises when one mistakenly improves the land of another. But in that case there is serious danger that in enforcing an obligation upon the owner in the name of unjust enrichment the court would do injustice, for it may well be that under all the circumstances of his situation the owner would not be actually benefitted to the extent of the increased market value of his land, or would not be financially able to invest in improvements. Even with the precautionary provisions of the Betterment Acts, allowing the owner to elect to abandon his land to the improver upon payment of its value without the improvement, hardship may result to one who would prefer to retain his land in its original condition. In this case, however, the owner would have lost his land if the tax had remained unpaid, and the relief granted is in substance subrogation, the mere substitution of one creditor for another. These considerations make the case more nearly analogous to those where one by mistake pays another's debt. A fair number of cases allow the one paying the debt to be subrogated to the rights of the original creditor. 23 L. R. A. 120. The decision reached in the principal case seems highly just, and consequently good law. The judgment is properly in the form of a lien, and an order of sale because the taxes paid constituted a lien against the land. The few cases which have involved the exact question are not in accord. A lien on the land was given in *Goodnow v. Noulton*, 51 Ia. 555; *Egbers v. Fisher*, 73 Wash. 308, 131 Pac. 1128, and *Childs v. Smith*, 51 Wash. 457, 99 Pac. 304. A lien was denied in *Taylor v. Reniger*, 147 Mich. 99, 110 N. W. 503, and *Montgomery v. City Council of Charleston*, 99 Fed. 825, 40 C. C. A. 108. A personal judgment was denied in *Batson v. City of Detroit*, 143 Mich. 582, 106 N. W. 1104, and *Homestead Co. v. Valley Ry.*, 17 Wall. (U. S.), 153.